

Sixth Appellate District

San Jose, California

MONDAY, MAY 15, 2006

The following cases are submitted this date:

H029072 In re ISRAEL A., et al.; SANTA CRUZ COUNTY HRA v. CLAUDIA R.

H028968 SMITH v. COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ, et al.

H029304 PEOPLE v. CREPSAC

TUESDAY, MAY 16, 2006

H028990 PEOPLE v. PHOMPHACHANH

The judgment is modified to impose a consecutive sentence of 141 days for count 3 and to stay execution of that sentence pending service of the principal sentence of three years and four months, such stay to become permanent when service of the principle sentence is completed. The judgment is also modified to apply 141 days of presentence credit to the principal term of three years and four months. As so modified, the judgment is affirmed. (not published)

(Premo, Acting P.J.; We concur: Elia, J., Bamattre-Manoukian, J.)
Filed May 16, 2006

H029142 PEOPLE v. CAMILO P.

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Rushing, P.J.; We concur: Elia, J., Mihara, J.)
Filed May 16, 2006

H028836 PEOPLE v. EVANS

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Bamattre-Manoukian, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Elia, J.)
Filed May 16, 2006

H028763 PEOPLE v. OLDHAM

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Elia, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Bamattre-Manoukian, J.)
Filed May 16, 2006

H028756 RILEY v. MCDONALD

The appeal is dismissed. (not published)
(Bamattre-Manoukian, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Elia, J.)
Filed May 16, 2006

Sixth Appellate District

San Jose, California

WEDNESDAY, MAY 17, 2006

H027073 LAM RESEACH CORPORATION v. DALLAS SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION, et al.

H027366 LAM RESEACH CORPORATION v. DALLAS SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION, et al.

By the Court*:

Appellant's petition for rehearing is denied.

Filed: May 17, 2006

*Before Premo, Acting P.J., Elia, J. and Bamattre-Manoukian, J.

H028003 LAM RESEARCH CORPORATION v. MAXIM INTEGRATED PRODUCTS, INC.

By the Court*:

Respondent's petition for rehearing is denied.

Filed: May 17, 2006

*Before Premo, Acting P.J., Elia, J. and Bamattre-Manoukian, J.

H027860 LOS ALTOS EL GRANADA INVESTORS v. CITY OF CAPITOLA et al.

The judgment is reversed and the matter remanded for proceedings consistent with this opinion. (published)

(Premo, J.; We concur: Rushing, P.J., Duffy, J.)

Filed May 17, 2006

The following case is submitted this date:

H028822 PEOPLE v. FRANK NEAL

H0029454 PEOPLE v. ROSAS

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)

(McAdams, J.; We concur: Mihara, Acting P.J., Duffy, J.)

Filed May 17, 2006

H028629 PAULUS v. BOB LYNCH FORD, INC., et al.

The order granting Lynch's special motion to strike is affirmed. The order awarding attorney fees and costs to Lynch is likewise affirmed. Lynch shall recover its attorney fees and costs on appeal pursuant to section 425.16, subdivision (c), the amounts of which shall be determined by the trial court. (See *Huntingdon Life Sciences, Inc. v. Stop Huntingdon Animal Cruelty USA, Inc.* (2005) 129 Cal.App.4th 1228, 1267.) (published)

(Duffy, J.; We concur: Rushing, P.J., Premo, J.)

Filed May 17, 2006

Sixth Appellate District

San Jose, California

THURSDAY, MAY 18, 2006

H028527 PEOPLE v. NGUYEN

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Premo, J.; We concur: Rushing, P.J., Elia, J.)
Filed May 18, 2006

H028769 YAQUB v. NOSSAMAN, GUTHNER, KNOX & ELLIOTT, LLP

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Mihara, J.; We concur: Rushing, P.J., McAdams, J.)
Filed May 18, 2006

The following cases are submitted this date:

H029262 PEOPLE v. DAVID S.

H029433 PEOPLE v. ANDREW B.

H029554 PEOPLE v. ANTHONY A.

H029585 PEOPLE v. KASHIF H.

FRIDAY, MAY 19, 2006

H029301 PEOPLE v. WINGO

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Duffy, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Bamattre-Manoukian, J.)
Filed May 19, 2006

H028822 PEOPLE v. NEAL

We reverse the judgment in this case, and we remand the matter to the trial court with instructions to: (1) remove the requirement that defendant register as a sex offender pursuant to section 290, subdivision (a)(1)(A); and (2) determine whether defendant is subject to discretionary registration under section 290, subdivision (a)(2)(E). (not published)
(McAdams, J.; We concur: Rushing, P.J., Mihara, J.)
Filed May 19, 2006

H028562 IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF VICTOR WEBBER AND LINDA CHEN

The orders of January 11, 2005, denying the motion to quash subpoenas and awarding attorney fees in the amount of \$1,000 pursuant to Family Code section 271 are affirmed. (not published)
(Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting P.J.; We concur: McAdams, J., Duffy, J.)
Filed May 19, 2006